

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**  
**PCT**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY**  
 (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>PCT2216TSyj</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See item 4 below
International application No. <b>PCT/EP2005/000229</b>	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>12 January 2005 (12.01.2005)</b>	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) <b>16 January 2004 (16.01.2004)</b>	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant <b>BERRY FINANCE NV</b>			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		

Date of issuance of this report <b>17 July 2006 (17.07.2006)</b>	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Authorized officer  <b>Ellen Moyse</b> e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 07 APR 2005

WIPO

PCT

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/000229

International filing date (day/month/year)  
12.01.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)  
16.01.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
B30B15/06, B32B27/10, B32B31/20, B29C33/38, B44C5/04

Applicant  
BERRY FINANCE NV

### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:	Authorized Officer
 European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Golombek, G Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2909 

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/000229

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material:

a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material:

in written format  
 in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing:

contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/000229

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

- the entire international application,
- claims Nos. 2-5,16-18

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-18 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

**see separate sheet**

- the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
- no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.
- the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
  - the written form  has not been furnished  
 does not comply with the standard
  - the computer readable form  has not been furnished  
 does not comply with the standard
- the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/000229

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or  
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	11,12,15,
	No: Claims	1,6-10,13,14
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	11,12,15
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1,6-15
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application**

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

**Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000229

**To point III**

Although claims 1, 2, 6 and 16 - 18 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The afore-mentioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

Thus, claims 2 - 5 and 16 - 18 are not examined.

**To point V**

**Claim 1**

The document D1 = US-A-3 454 457 discloses a method for fabrication a caul ( claim 1 presently on file: matrix 1 , 2) using wood (claim 1 presently on file: wood member) as master caul having a surface structure (see column 2, lines 41 - 59 and figures 1 - 3 of D1).

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new and the claim itself does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

**Claim 6**

The document D1 also discloses a method of fabrication of a decorative laminate for a panel with a surface structure wherein a production matrix is pressed together with the materia for a decorative laminate in order to at least partially transfer the surface structure of the production matrix onto the decorative laminate (see figures 1 - 3 and column 3, lines 29 - 46).

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 6 is not new and the claim itself does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

**Claims 7 - 15**

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/000229

These claims discloses features which are either known from D1, compare e.g. the thick-ness of the laminate according to claim 13 presently on file to column 3, lines 42 - 46 of D1 or which a person skilled in the art is able to manage without being inventive, e.g. the pressure ranges according to claim 12 presently on file.

**To point VII**

- 1 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this docu-ments identified therein.
- 2 The last paragraph of the does not concern any technical feature claimed and seems to be superfluous (Rules 5.1 and 9.1(iv) PCT).
- 3 According to the requirements of Rule 11.13(m) PCT the same feature shall be denoted by the same reference sign throughout the application. This requirement is not met in view of the use of reference sign 11, used for a laminate (claim 8) and for material (claim 6).

**To point VIII**

Claim 1 repeats the expression matrix five times, whereby the matrices differ only in the reference signs, which should not be construed as limiting the scope of the claim. This leads to the unclarity that different technical features are named by the same expression contrary to Article 6 PCT.